1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT CORAL GABLES CITY HALL 405 BILTMORE WAY, COMMISSION CHAMBERS CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA	
2		
3		
4	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 2015, COMMENCING AT 7:15	P.M.
5		
6	Board Members Present:	
7	Raul Valdes-Fauli, Chairman Tom Korge Jimmy Morales	
8		
9	Parker D. Thomson Richard Dewitt	
10	William Bonn Angelique Ortega-Fridman	
11		0
12		200
13		
14		
15	City Staff and Consultants:	
16	Craig E. Leen, City Attorney Miriam Ramos, Deputy City Attorney Yaneris Figueroa, Assistant City Attorney Bridgette N. Thornton, Esq., Special Counsel	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	An Aniana	
25	ORIGINAL ORIGINAL	

THEREUPON:

(The following proceedings were held.)

MR. KORGE: We are missing Mr. Morales and our Chairman. So we have a quorum, right?

MR. LEEN: We do.

MR. KORGE: Okay.

MR. LEEN: You do.

MR. KORGE: So you wanted to start with Item 2-C -- no, excuse me, 2-B, a discussion regarding the auditor.

And the question that we have was, how do we actually conduct the audit, to whom goes the auditor report, who selects the auditor, that sort of thing.

MR. LEEN: Yes, and so what the City

Manager said, and I'll just read the relevant

part, is that "The external auditors are

selected by and report directly to the City

Commission. The day-to-day activities of the

audit field work is managed by the Finance

Department. The audit contract is a three-year

contract, with three one-year option renewals.

The City awards the external audit contract

through the RFP process. An evaluation

committee is tasked with reviewing each of the

proposals received and making recommendation of award based on qualifications, experience and ability to meet the City's need. That recommendation is taken to the City Commission for acceptance or rejection. The City Commission makes the ultimate decision on the award.

"Even though the day-to-day activities of the auditor is managed by the Finance

Department, through the City Manager, the external auditors report to the City

Commission. All correspondence regarding the audit process and any audit findings are addressed directly to the City Commission.

Additionally, all reports are discussed and presented annually to the City Commission at a regular Commission meeting.

"Although the City does have a Budget and Audit Advisory Board, it has not historically taken an active role in the external audit function. Financial statements are provided and presented to the Board each year, but their main focus has been internal audit and the budgetary process."

This is from, actually, the Finance

1 Director to the City Manager. MR. DEWITT: Craig, let me ask a question. 3 Is this three-year and three-year options, is that in the ordinance or is that just tradition? 6 MR. LEEN: That would be in the contract. 7 MR. DEWITT: That's just the tradition in our contract? 8 9 MR. LEEN: Yes. That's what she's 10 describing as our current contract. 11 MR. DEWITT: And we don't know whether the 12 option is for us or for the --13 MR. KORGE: It will be with us. 14 MR. LEEN: Typically the options are 15 executed by the City Manager. Typically, in 16 City contracts --17 MR. DEWITT: So it's at the option of the 18 City Manager to continue it? 19 MR. LEEN: Yes, but, you know, what I take 20 this, as her saying -- and it doesn't expressly 21 say that. I'm just telling you, typically, if 22 it's an option, it's exercised 23 administratively. 24 MR. KORGE: It's definitely going to be our 25 option, not the CPA's, no doubt.

MR. LEEN: Yes. No, no, but what I mean is, it's not the Commission. It's typically the City Manager has the delegated authority to exercise the option.

MR. KORGE: I understand.

MR. LEEN: That's what I was saying. But you're right, typically we would need to execute the option.

MR. KORGE: Right. That contract, the form and contents of the contract, are decided by the Commission. So they can do whatever they want, in terms of delegating the option rights.

MR. LEEN: Yes.

MR. KORGE: The important point to me is that the auditors are going through the Commission, and that the auditors report to the Commission, and issue their audit report to the Commission.

MR. LEEN: Yes.

I want to reiterate that there is no doubt in my mind, under our Charter, and particularly under the history of the Charter, that the Commission can hire an outside auditor. They can hire a company to be the auditor. There's no question about that.

The question that was raised by this

Committee was about an employee, a Commission

auditor, and whether that needed to be created

by Charter or whether that could be created by

Code, and I know that that was the discussion

last time, and that's up to you to decide. I

have some legal thoughts on that, too, but you

have to decide, as a policy matter, whether you

would want to do that.

2.2

2.4

MR THOMSON: I would move that the Charter provide that the Commission will annually appoint an outside auditor, who will report to the Commission or a Committee thereof.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ KORGE: Is there a second for the motion?

MR. DEWITT: What was the second part?

MR THOMSON: That the Commission would annually appoint an external auditor, who would report to the Commission or a Committee thereof.

MR. DEWITT: Rather than have a three-year contract?

MR THOMSON: I don't mind them having a three-year contract. I just think it's -- that's a standard corporate form, is that every

year the auditor gets -- the external auditor gets appointed or approved for the next year by the Commission and reports to the Commission or an Audit Committee.

MR. KORGE: So can I take from your motion that you want to formalize in the Charter --

Yes.

MR. THOMSON:

MR. KORGE: -- that an external auditor would be reporting directly to the Commission, selected by the Commission and reporting to the Commission, which is what the practice is now?

So I think all you're really suggesting is that we formalize it in the Charter, so it can't be changed, except by referendum.

MR. THOMSON: Exactly. Exactly.

MR. KORGE: Is there a second for that?

MR. DEWITT: Is anyone concerned or thinks it would be appropriate that at least every three years, that the auditor be changed? Or is that the tradition? How long has our auditor been around?

MR THOMSON: I think that's a little short.

It is certainly good practice to not have them

beyond a certain period. I think three is a

little short, but I could care less --

7 MR. DEWITT: I just picked that number, 2 because that's what the contract is. MR. THOMSON: Yeah, right. MR. DEWITT: But it would seem to me that 5 at some point we should not have the same 6 auditors over and over, for twenty years. MR. BONN: I could not agree more with Richard, and I think that the thing is, like 8 9 even in private industry, let's be honest, I 10 mean, the way you look at it is that it's about 11 a six-year run, and that's probably why this 12 was structured as a three-year contract, with 13 three one-year options in favor of the City to 1.4 renew. 15 And, then, if you decide to make a change, 16 you make a change, and --17 MR. DEWITT: But there's no requirement 18 that they make a change. 19 MR. BONN: No. 20 MR. DEWITT: And maybe we should consider 21 that. 22 MR. BONN: Right. Exactly. 23 MR. KORGE: Well, right now the motion that 24 needs a second is to incorporate as a provision

of the Charter a requirement that the City

Commission retain external auditors, that report directly to the City, formalizing in the Charter the practice that is ongoing and is a pretty typical practice.

Yes.

MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Didn't we vote on this already last meeting?

MR. KORGE: I thought so, but -- I don't know if we voted on it being --

MR. DEWITT: I think we wanted more information, is what we said.

MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Okay.

MR. KORGE: Yeah, it wasn't clear at the last meeting. I thought it was that they reported to the Commission, but it wasn't clear. And now it's very clear. We got an e-mail that says what it should say, which is very comforting, and Parker's presented a motion that we incorporate that into a Charter provision, and what I understand you're saying is just that they have to hire an external auditor, that reports directly to the Commission, without getting into the details of what the contract would provide, the number of years of the contract or any of that.

1 So is that correct, Parker? 2 MR. THOMSON: Yeah. 3 MR. DEWITT: I would just suggest that we 4 might consider amending it to saying that every 5 five or six years or whatever, we believe that 6 the auditor should be changed. 7 MR. THOMSON: I'm perfectly agreeable to 8 that amendment. 9 MR. LEEN: Let me ask you something, 10 If the Commission -- I have no though. 11 position, but just for purposes of inquiry, if 12 the Commission were to pick a person, you would 1.3 then require them to change that person in five 14 years? 15 MR. DEWITT: Yes, or the firm. 16 MR. KORGE: It's going to end up being an 17 accounting firm. It's not going to be an 18 individual. 19 MR. LEEN: No, but what if they were to go 20 the route of the Commission Auditor, like they 21 wanted to select a person to fill that 22 position? 23 It thought we were talking MR. DEWITT: 24 about external auditor. 25 MR. KORGE: This is an external auditor,

not an employee.

MR THOMSON: This has nothing to do with the internal auditor, who would report to the City Manager.

MR. LEEN: I understand, but I remember, at the last meeting, there is an internal auditor that reports to the City Manager, there is this external auditing firm, and then this Board asked us to -- you also had raised the issue of a Commission auditor, which is something in between the two. So that's no longer -- is that something you're still --

MR. KORGE: What's a Commission auditor? I don't understand what that means.

MR. LEEN: Well, I was asked to give an opinion last time about whether under the current Charter and Code, could the Commission appoint someone, that was an employee, that was the Commission auditor, and the opinion that I gave was that it depends on the nature of the duties given to that person.

And I talked about it a little bit, but if it was something that was more in the nature of inquiry, where the person was inquiring with different departments, getting information for

Commissioners and assisting them in their investigative function, I gave the opinion that you could do that under the current Code. You either put that person under the Commission as a whole or under the City Clerk's Office, if

you wanted it to be an independent person.

But I said that if you wanted someone with substantial authority to be able -- to basically do investigations on behalf of the Commission, like a Charter officer, answerable only to the Commission, that's something that would be more like something you would need to do by Charter Amendment.

This is different, though, is what it sounds --

MR. DEWITT: I think what we're talking about is the external auditor for the City, that we have a contract with them, and what we're saying is that that person should be selected -- in the Charter, should be selected by the Commission, and should have -- you know, I'm not sure of five years or six years or whatever, but at the max, every five or six years, that firm should be changed, so we have a different firm come in and do it.

1 MR. BONN: I agree, Richard. And, also, 2 the City Attorney is correct. We did discuss both subjects at the last meeting, about whether we wanted to have someone that reported 5 directly to the Commission, as -- you know, but 6 you're right, we've sort of mixed the two 7 concepts, but this is very comforting, I would agree, but this is in practice with the 9 external audit firm, but I do think it's wise 10 to make it a Charter point, so that we're sure 11 this is followed in the future. 12 MR. KORGE: So do you want to second the 13 motion? 14 MR. BONN: I will second Parker's motion. 15 MR. KORGE: And you have --16 MR. BONN: With the amendment. 17 With the amendment? MR. KORGE: 18 MR THOMSON: Yes. That's fine. 19 MR. KORGE: So the amendment would require 20 a change at least every six years or every six 21 years --22 MR. DEWITT: Five years -- at least --23 whatever you all think. Parker, what do you 24 think?

MR. THOMSON: You know, the Commission has

I mean,

1 complete discretion to terminate one year, two years, three years, but at least every six 3 years --MR. KORGE: Okay. MR THOMSON: -- bring in a new auditor. 6 MR. KORGE: Let's have a little discussion 7 about that. MR. THOMSON: But it would be nice to know 8 9 if six is the right period. I mean, there are 10 -- it's fairly standard, but I don't know that 11 five or six --12 MR. KORGE: Let me ask about that. 13 that's the only question I have. I think 14 having it in the Charter is good, because it 15 makes it very clear, that has been the 16 practice, that's a good practice, and having it 17 in the Charter cements it in forever, until, 18 you know, the practice is changed. 19 MR. DEWITT: Until the Charter is changed. 20 MR. KORGE: Yeah. So then they've got to 21 go back and change the Charter, you know, but 22 the concern I have or the question I have is, 23 six years, five years -- I mean, should we 24 leave the number of years to the Commission or

should we have an outside number?

1 MR. DEWITT: No. Absolutely, I think it should be in the Charter. MR. KORGE: It should be in the Charter? MR. DEWITT: I think so, yeah. MR. KORGE: Why do you think that? 6 MR. DEWITT: Because I believe it resolves a problem. It specifically sets up a change in 8 the auditor, so we know that we're getting a 9 fresh look at what's going on. 10 MR. BONN: I would agree, especially since 11 the, you know, members of the Commission will 12 change from time to time, and if we don't have 13 a date certain, then how do we know that's 14 being honored? 15 MR. DEWITT: Then, you know, they can 16 continue it on forever. 17 MR. LEEN: For Mayor Valdes-Fauli, I just 18 wanted to -- Mayor Valdes-Fauli, what we did 19 was -- I let them know that you were in 20 traffic. Also, Jimmy Morales sent me a text. 21 He's in traffic right now. And there's a lot 22 of traffic out tonight. 23 Instead of starting, we went right to the 24 discussion regarding auditors. So I could just 25 read the report. There's a report in your

packet from the City Manager. It was originally from the Finance Director, to the City Manager, to you, through me, which talks about our external auditing process, and shows that that external auditor is answerable to the Commission. They're selected by the Commission, by RFP. They're answerable to the Commission. Day-to-day, they're supervised by the Finance Director. I think you'll be satisfied with the information.

The issue that came up was, Mr. Thomson made a motion to require that this basic

The issue that came up was, Mr. Thomson made a motion to require that this basic process be done every year by the Commission, or, you know -- I think the actual motion was, you could do whatever you wanted to, but you had to have an audit done every year by an external auditor, and at least once every six years you had to change the auditor.

That was second.

Did I get the motion correct?

MR. DEWITT: Yes.

MR. KORGE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I thought we had it once every three years. No?

MR. LEEN: Right now we have an external

1 auditor every year. 2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No. No. 3 mean, but change every three years. MR. DEWITT: No. 5 MR. KORGE: No. It's three years -- they 6 have three years, and then the City has three 7 one-year options to extend. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Okay. 9 MR. KORGE: So the motion is, at least 10 every six years. 11 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULT: Perfect. 12 MR. LEEN: Mr. Morales, we started with 13 2-B, because of all of the traffic. So I know 14 it was hard for people to get here. So we just 15 started with the discussion item, which has 16 turned into a motion. 17 And if you want to read the information 18 from the City Manager, it's on Page 1, 2, 3, 4, 19 5. 20 MR. DEWITT: 5. 21 MR. LEEN: There's a little bit of 22 information there about the external auditor, 23 from the Finance Director to the City Manager. 24 So it will give you the information you need. 25 And there was a motion that came out of the

1 discussion, which was to require -- right now 2 the Charter allows, empowers the Commission, 3 but it doesn't require the Commission. motion is to require the Commission to have an 5 external auditor do the audit every year, and 6 the Commission would be required to change the 7 auditor at least once every six years. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The motion was 8 9 made, and second. 10 MR. LEEN: Yes, it was. 11 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Did we call a vote? 12 MR. KORGE: It has not come to a vote yet. 13 We were discussing it when you arrived. 14 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Oh, okay. I'm very 15 much for that, and I think that when I was --16 when I had something to do with it, I think 17 that we had an audit every year and the auditor 18 came before us, the external auditor. 19 MR. KORGE: Call the question, because I 20 think everybody agrees. 21 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Shall we call the 22 question? 23 MR. KORGE: Yeah. 24 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All those in favor, 25 say, aye.

1	MR. DEWITT: Aye.
2	MR. BONN: Aye.
3	MR. KORGE: Aye.
4	MR. MORALES: Aye.
5	MR. THOMSON: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Opposed, likewise?
7	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Well, just to be
8	consistent with my last vote.
9	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I'm sorry?
10	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: To be consistent with
11	my last vote, I oppose.
12	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You oppose the
13	external auditor and the report?
14	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Just to include it in
15	the Charter, for the same reason, it's already
16	happening.
17	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Oh, okay. Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	All right. And thank you for waiting for
20	us, and an additional problem I had is that I
21	was down there for a few minutes, because the
22	door was locked.
23	MR. LEEN: I'm sorry about that. We have a
24	security guard now that's supposed to be
25	watching and I'm sorry that he missed you.

1 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No. I know. pounded on the door, but I can see somebody saying that we're hiding something or --MR. LEEN: Okay. Well, did you have any 5 trouble getting in, Mr. Morales, this time? 6 MR. MORALES: No. The cleaning staff let 7 me in. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The guard was 9 there. 10 MR. LEEN: Did you go downstairs and is 11 there someone down there? 12 MS. FIGUEROA: The security guard is there, 13 and he knows he has to stay there --14 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The policeman was 15 very nice. 16 MR. LEEN: Okay. We have a security guard 17 now, but I guess he was walking around. 18 downstairs and will let anyone in that wants to 19 come in. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: He was patrolling 21 the upstairs, making sure that we were 2.2 behaving, yes. 23 MR. LEEN: Sorry about that. 24 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I'm sorry to be 25 late. I apologize. It took me three times as

long to get out of Downtown as it usually does.

Should we start discussing 8.1?

2.0

MR. LEEN: Yes. Mr. Mayor, what 8.1 is,
Mr. Chair, is the runoff election. Last time,
when we talked about having a runoff election,
we tried to incorporate it into Section 8,
which is a very long section, and we felt that
it was a little convoluted. I think that there
was some discussion among the Board that it was
a difficult paragraph to work on.

So what we ended up doing was coming back to you with a separate section, that would follow Section 8, that would establish the runoff.

First of all, you should look at whether you like this language. The second thing is, there was an issue about whether the runoff should be in two weeks or three weeks.

The Elections Department has informed us that they'd prefer -- and by "Elections Department," I mean, County Elections

Department, prefers three weeks; however, based on a couple of examples that we were able to bring up to them, they recognized that they do do it in two weeks sometimes, and they did say

1 that if we asked for it to be in two weeks, 2 they could do it in two weeks. 3 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: That's perfect, because, you know, Miami does it in two weeks. 5 MR. MORALES: Miami Beach just had one. 6 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. 7 Precisely. 8 So let's request that it be in two weeks, 9 and, you know, I would put the two weeks here, 10 if they say that they can do it. 11 MR. DEWITT: Do we need a motion for this? 12 MR. LEEN: Yes. 13 MR. KORGE: And before you do, just as a 14 drafting point, the second to last sentence 15 reads, "The Mayor and Commissioners, regardless 16 of participation in a runoff, shall take office 17 at noon on the Friday after the runoff 18 established herein." I would prefer to say, 19 "Shall take office at noon on the second Friday 20 after the general election established herein." 21 And the reason for that is that it's 22 unclear to me how that would apply if there is 23 no runoff. 24 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No. No. 25 MR. MORALES: It would be the third Friday.

1 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: It would be the 2 third Friday. 3 MR. MORALES: Third Friday. 4 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: First Friday after 5 the elections are a few days after. 6 MR. KORGE: Third Friday. Yes, the third 7 Friday. I apologize. Yes, the third Friday. 8 But the problem I had with referencing the 9 second Friday -- or the noon after the runoff 10 established herein is, if there's no runoff, 11 it's a little ambiguous what the date is. 12 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Understood. Yeah, 13 but if there's no runoff, then --14 MR. BONN: Then this section doesn't apply. 15 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: 16 MR. KORGE: Meaning they will take office 17 earlier? 18 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. 19 No. No. It still applies. MR. LEEN: No. 20 There's no runoff, but they don't take office 21 until there would have been a runoff. 22 what you said. 23 MR. KORGE: That's what we had agreed at 24 the last meeting, was that regardless of 25 whether there's a runoff, it would take --

every year or every election, they would take office at the same time.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Why don't we say, to avoid this, "Shall take office on the Frid

to avoid this, "Shall take office on the Friday after the candidates are elected or the Mayor and Commissioners are elected"? If there's no runoff, it would be the Friday afterwards.

MR. KORGE: If you want to accelerate it, if there's no runoff, then that's different.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: That's what I mean.

MR. KORGE: Yeah.

MR. LEEN: Well, I think the concern was, what if there was a runoff -- what you had said in one of the prior meetings was, if there was a runoff in one race and not in the other two races, for example, would all three wait -- I guess they would -- for the runoff.

So then the thought was, we would just set

-- your thought -- I was just trying to

transcribe it, was that it would always be the

same day, which would be three Fridays after,

no matter what.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Yeah. Yeah.

MR. LEEN: Whether there was a runoff or not. And that would always subsume the runoff

1 period. So you'd always have enough time for a runoff. 3 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I agree. I agree. I don't know if you --5 MR. KORGE: Yeah. I mean, I would just 6 state it as the third Friday after the general 7 election. 8 MR. LEEN: Okay. 9 MR. KORGE: Then there can't be any 10 ambiguity about what that date would be. Ιt 11 would always be that date. 12 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All right. 13 MR. MORALES: So by that you're saying that 14 even if there's no runoff --15 MR. KORGE: Right. 16 MR. MORALES: -- it would still be three 17 weeks later? 18 MR. KORGE: That day. Right. 19 MR. DEWITT: Because the runoff is in two 20 weeks. 21 MR. LEEN: I wanted to raise one issue, 22 which came up with the City of Miami. What do 23 we do in a situation if there's only one person 24 running in the runoff? I would like there to 25 be an ability to not hold the election --

1 MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: What do you mean --MR. LEEN: -- as the City Attorney? 3 MR. DEWITT: Say what --MR. LEEN: Well, what happened in the City 5 of Miami's election is, they had two people 6 running in a runoff. One of them dropped out, 7 and under their law -- under their law, the 8 votes for that person didn't count. 9 So the only person running in the runoff 10 was the only person who could have been 11 elected. 12 MR. DEWITT: They're elected, right? 13 MR. LEEN: Yes, but they require -- the 14 City of Miami -- the City Attorney's Office, 15 looking at their Charter, determined that they 16 had to hold the election anyway, and expend the 17 funds, which I think was \$100,000 or something 18 like that. 19 MR. KORGE: Presumably because you can't 20 withdraw once you're a candidate. Is that 21 right? 22 MR. LEEN: They allowed her to withdraw, 23 because they didn't count her votes. 24 MR. DEWITT: You can withdraw at any time 25 and the other person is elected by a --

1 Well, I was concerned about it, MR. LEEN: because if that situation came here, I would like to give the opinion that we didn't have to hold the election. 5 MR. MORALES: Yeah, I think the issue there 6 was that the Charter said you had to win by a 7 majority of the votes cast, so there had to be an election. 9 So unless you put specific language, I 10 guess, that says that in a runoff, if a 11 candidate drops out, you can cancel the 12 election. 13 MR. LEEN: I wanted to know, if you, as a 14 policy matter, supported it. I just wanted to 15 raise the issue with you. We could put 16 language in there that would account for that. 17 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Put language 18 in there, I think, right? 19 MR. MORALES: Yeah. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: So if we save the 21 \$100,000 for the runoff or whatever much it is. 22 MR. MORALES: Sure. 23 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. 24 MR. KORGE: Yeah. 25 MR. DEWITT: Why have a --

1 MR. LEEN: Okay. I will add that, 2 depending on your motion, but if this passes, 3 I'll add it to it. This has already passed in concept. 5 is just the final language. 6 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. Okay. 7 MR. LEEN: And we'll probably have to come 8 back to you in the final meeting -- the next 9 meeting is the final meeting, where we'll show 10 you all of the things that you passed, most of 11 which are already finished. You'll just see 12 But this one, I can add that additional 13. language. 14 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All right. 15 The next is Section 11, Coral Gables --16 MR. LEEN: I need a vote on this, though. 17 We do need a vote on this, because even though 18 it was approved in concept, it's now its own 19 section. 20 MR. MORALES: Moved as amended. 21 MR. LEEN: Moved as amended. 2.2 MR. KORGE: I second that. 23 MR. DEWITT: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All those in favor 25 say, aye.

1 MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Aye. 2 MR. BONN: Aye. 3 MR. KORGE: Aye. 4 MR. MORALES: Aye. 5 MR. DEWITT: Aye. 6 MR. THOMSON: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Opposed? 8 MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: I'm good. 9 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Section 11, City of 10 Coral Gables Charter. We discussed this at 11 length. Does anybody have any comments? 12 MR. KORGE: Well, yeah, I have one minor 13 comment. I sent Craig an e-mail today. 14 was reading through the revised version of the 15 Charter, I noticed that a Commissioner is not 16 allowed to vote on any matter affecting him or 17 her financially or in relation to any of his or 18 her conduct. 19 The removal, the expulsion provision, 20 requires a four-fifth vote of the Commission to 21 expel a member of the Commission, which it just 22 seems a little ambiguous or contradictory, 23 because that person can't vote. 24 So why do we have a four-fifth vote --

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI:

1 MR. DEWITT: Good point. 2 MR. KORGE: I just pointed that out. 3 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I agree. I agree. MR. KORGE: It's just kind of odd. I don't 5 know how to address it. 6 MR. LEEN: I guess the question to you is, 7 do you want a person to be able to vote on their own expulsion, and, if not, do you want 9 to just change it to a vote of four, which is 10 unanimous of those that can vote? 11 MR. KORGE: Well, that raises the question, 12 if you only have three Commissioners for some 13 In other words, not based on a quorum, 14 but let's say there was a missing Commissioner, 15 a Commissioner died and we're down to three. 16 don't know. I'm just raising the questions. 17 don't have answers. 18 MR. LEEN: I understand. 19 MR. MORALES: But there may be a deeper 20 policy question here. And, as you know, I 21 voted against this -- the concept last time, 22 but if we're going to allow the Commission to 23 expel a Commissioner, I think, as a protection, 24 that you probably are saying four-fifth of the

body. So you'd actually want four affirmative

1 votes to expel, so that it's not four-fifth -if there's three Commissioners here and two vote, the person is off. I would imagine you want a super majority to take such a, you know, extraordinary act. 6 MR. KORGE: Do you want to say, the 7 unanimous vote of the remaining Commissioners? Is that what we want? 9 MR. MORALES: In case you're saying, all 10 right, it would be the unanimous vote of the four other Commissioners. 11 12 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The remaining 13 Commissioners. MR. MORALES: Either way, if you want to do 15 that, presuming that the person either is 16 conflicted or would vote against --17 MR. KORGE: I just don't like the ambiguity 18 of, you know, if that Commissioner isn't 19 allowed to participate in the vote, under a 20 different section of the Charter, then the 21 four-fifth implies that maybe they can't vote 22 and it's not clear. 23

14

24

25

I always understood the four-fifth to mean it's really going to be unanimous, because everybody else would have to vote in favor of

it. So if that person isn't allowed to participate, I would prefer to say just the unanimous vote of the Commission. Does that make sense?

MR. LEEN: I just want you to know, because of the four-fifth vote -- that's the most specific provision. Typically, when I have two Charter provisions, I take the more specific one. I would have allowed or at least I would have given the opinion to the Mayor, who would have been, you know, presiding over that meeting, the expulsion meeting, to allow the person to vote --

MR. DEWITT: Unless he's being expelled.

MR. LEEN: -- because of the four-fifth requirement, which implies that all five can vote, and, to me, that's more specific.

MR. KORGE: Well, I would not leave --

MR. LEEN: But I recognize the conflict in the language of the Charter, which seems to say something different in another provision.

MR. KORGE: Bear in mind that in the unlikely event this is ever used, it's going to be litigated.

MR. LEEN: Yes, probably.

1 MR. KORGE: It's going to be litigated. 2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. 3 MR. KORGE: So any possible ambiguity should be eliminated, simply to eliminate another issue that's going to cost money in a 6 litigation. 7 MR. DEWITT: Why not just use the language 8 that you proposed, a unanimous vote of the 9 remaining Commissioners? 10 MR. LEEN: I would recommend saying, 11 sitting Commissioners, because if there's a 12 vacancy, then the person wouldn't count, and 13 then we would -- but "remaining," I'd be 14 concerned. What if it's remaining -- I'm 15 sorry, sir. 16 Remaining City Commissioners. 17 MR. DEWITT: Yeah, I'm good with that. 18 MR. LEEN: Because if we said, "Remaining," 19 it could be like remaining at the meeting. So 20 what if it's just three Commissioners are at a 21 meeting, could two of them vote to expel the 22 other person? I'd be concerned. 23 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No, put the 2.4 unanimous vote -- I think that would make 25 sense.

1 MR. DEWITT: That is clear language, yeah. 2 MR. LEEN: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah. MR. KORGE: Just to be clear, sitting 5 doesn't mean attending that meeting, right? 6 MR. LEEN: No. Sitting means --7 MR. KORGE: It means actually in power at the time? 8 9 MR. LEEN: We'll make sure to double-check 10 the term, but I believe that's the best term. 11 MR. KORGE: Yeah. 12 Okay. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: 13 MR. KORGE: Yeah, okay. 14 MR. LEEN: But we'll double-check. 15 will be coming back to you in the final 16 meeting. 17 MR. KORGE: I'm good with this. 18 view that as a substantive change. I view that 19 as just a clarification. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Do we need to vote 21. here? 22 MR. KORGE: Do we need to vote? 23 MR. LEEN: This has already been approved. 24 This was coming before you for the final 25 language, but now we're going to be changing it

1 again, so, no, it doesn't need a vote. 2 As long as there's unanimous consent that 3 we can make this change --CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I think there is, yeah. 6 MR. BONN: Yes. 7 MR. LEEN: Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. The next is 9 Section 15 of the City of Coral Gables Charter. 10 Any problems with this? Section 15, we 11 made a change on the Vice Mayor. "The Mayor 12 shall appoint a Commissioner to -- if the Vice 13 Mayor fails" --14 MR. LEEN: This is before you again, 15 because we added the language about 16 Commissioner. "The Mayor shall appoint a 17 Commissioner to undertake the Mayor's duties 18 when the Vice Mayor is unavailable." 19 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. I think that 20 that is clear and everybody is for it, so do we 21 need a vote on this? 22 MR. LEEN: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. 24 MR. LEEN: This is the final vote on this. 25 MR. BONN: I move for approval.

1	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Second?
2	MR. DEWITT: I couldn't hear what we were
3	approving.
4	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: We're approving
5	Section 15. And there, we added that if the
6	Vice Mayor is absent, then the Mayor shall
7	appoint another Commissioner.
8	MR. DEWITT: Got it. Got it.
9	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All those in favor?
10	MR. DEWITT: Aye.
11	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Aye.
12	MR. KORGE: Aye.
13	MR. MORALES: Aye.
14	MR. BONN: Aye.
15	MR. THOMSON: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Aye.
17	Opposed?
18	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: I'm good.
19	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Section 23, lines
20	of authority between Manager
21	MR THOMSON: Mr. Chairman, did we approve
22	the new language of expulsion?
23	MR. LEEN: No, because Mr. Chair
24	MR THOMSON: I just want to make sure that
25	my negative vote on the idea of expelling is

1.	registered.
2	MR. LEEN: Why don't we do this, then
,3	MR. THOMSON: I'm not troubled by the
4	language, I'm troubled by the concept.
5	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You're troubled by
6	the concept.
7	MR. LEEN: You know, I have the language
8	now. So what it will say is, on a unanimous
9	vote of the sitting Commissioners, the
10	Commission may expel a member on any grounds,
11	et cetera.
12	It used to say, on a four-fifth vote. Now
13	it will say, on a unanimous vote of the sitting
14	oh, other than
15	MR. KORGE: Other than the
16	MR. LEEN: How do we say that eloquently?
17	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay.
18	MR. LEEN: Of the other sitting
19	Commissioners?
20	MR. KORGE: Yeah, the "other" would get it,
21	I think.
22	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay.
23	MR. LEEN: Unanimous vote of the other
24	sitting Commissioners
25	MR. DEWITT: Correct.

1 .	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Right.
2	MR. LEEN: of the Commission.
3	And I will double-check whether "sitting"
4	is the best word and I'll let you know next
5	time, but you could approve this now, and if it
6	is, then it doesn't have to come back to you.
7	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Would you make a
8	motion?
9	MR. KORGE: I'll move to approve that
10	language.
11	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Second?
12	MR. BONN: I second.
1.3	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. All those in
14	favor say, aye.
15	MR. BONN: Aye.
16	MR. KORGE: Aye.
17	MR. DEWITT: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Aye.
19	Opposed?
20	MR. THOMSON: Negative here.
21	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah, and Jimmy
22	Morales is also negative.
23	MR. LEEN: Okay. Five to two.
24	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah.
25	Section 23 of the Charter, lines of

1 authority between Manager and Commission. 2 again, discussed this at length. Not ad 3 nauseam, but at length. 4 MR. LEEN: Excuse me, may I make one change 5 to the last vote? Because reading it, it says, 6 "On a unanimous vote of the other sitting 7 Commissioners, the Commission may expel a member." 8 9 It would be better, because the word 10 "members" is used throughout on the 11 Commissioners and the Mayor, there might be 12 confusion that the Mayor couldn't vote. 13 you be okay by unanimous vote if I said, 14 "Unanimous vote of the other members"? 15 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: That's fine. 16 MR. LEEN: On a unanimous vote of the other 17 members, comma. 18 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: That's fine. 19 MR. LEEN: Okay. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Lines of 21 authority between --22 MR. LEEN: Sitting members. "On the 23 unanimous vote of the other sitting members." 24 Forgive me. Is that okay? 25 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Stop it.

1 Yes. MR. LEEN: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Lines of authority between Manager and Commission. MR. DEWITT: Are we on Section 23? 6 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah, Section 23. 7 MR. DEWITT: I guess, do we have that same problem with the term, Commissioners, in this 9 that we just addressed, that it may not apply 10 to the Mayor? 11 MR. LEEN: Which one? 12 MR. DEWITT: On Section 23. 13 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The Commission, the 14 Mayor is a member of it, the Commission, and --15 MR. DEWITT: But what we changed it to, it 16 says, "None of the Commissioners may." 17 MR. LEEN: The reason why I recommended 18 using members there was, throughout that entire section, it referred to members. 19 20 MR. DEWITT: I'm talking about in Section 21 23. 22 MR. LEEN: In this section, it already 23 refers to Commissioners. We would be changing 24 Commissioners, an already existing use of the 25 term.

1 MR. DEWITT: Well, my point being, does 2 that mean that this does not apply to the Mayor? MR. LEEN: No. My opinion is that the 5 Mayor is a Commissioner. The Charter says that 6 in another portion. 7 MR. KORGE: Okay. 8 MR. DEWITT: Okay. 9 MR. LEEN: He's the Commissioner from 10 District 1 -- not District, Commissioner from 11 C-1. 12 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULT: Yeah. He is C-1. 13 MR. DEWITT: That was my question. 14 doesn't matter. 15 MR. LEEN: No, but, like you said, in that 16 other section, it's going to be litigated. 17 might as well remove every possible ambiguity. 18 MR. KORGE: I agree. 19 MR. LEEN: You had said that, so I --20 MR. KORGE: Yeah. 21 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All right. 22 MR THOMSON: I have a question, Mr. Chair, 23 with the words "Or any of his subordinates," 24 other than the fact that the City Manager is 25 female.

I had understood her to say that she didn't want it to be any of her subordinates, but was looking to questions directed to her or to any of her department heads, but not any subordinate. I just wondered -- I was going to ask Mr. Leen whether the City Manager is fine with this language, because it's very broad, as it's written.

MR. MORALES: Parker, I think that language, "Or any of his subordinates" is only applicable to the question of appointment and removal, not inquiries.

MR. KORGE: Right.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I think she didn't mind us asking the subordinates for information. I don't think she minded that. I can look it up, if you want. I have it somewhere here.

And it will be very cumbersome not to allow the Commissioners or the Mayor to ask questions from subordinates. The questions are usually, you know, what's happening to that permit or where are we in that status, as opposed to, you know, directing or ordering anything or commissioning a study, and I think you were the

one, Parker, who brought that up, what if a study costs \$25,000, and, you know, they will say -- they will come back and say, "I'll give you information, but it will cost \$25,000."

Somebody said that.

MR THOMSON: You know, I think for an orderly arrangement, my original thought is, it it should go through the City Manager on everything. I think she said that's cumbersome. I think that's my memory of what she said, is that will be cumbersome, but I would have thought that it would be appropriate to limit it to the City Manager herself or any of the department heads, just so that everybody knows what's going on. That's all.

MR. KORGE: Well, we have it here, "Except for purposes for inquiry to obtain information, the Commission and its members shall otherwise deal with that portion of the administrative service which the Manager is responsible solely through the manager."

So what I get from that is that if you want to obtain information, a Commissioner can go to anybody. It doesn't have to go through the Manager.

MR THOMSON: So I think that's what this reads, yes.

MR. KORGE: Right. And do you have a problem with that?

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: We have that discussion on Page 52, 53 of the minutes.

Page 53, and, "Mr. Korge: Here's what I think. I think that's in the discretion of the Manager. If the Manager wants the director to be able or the Commission to be able to talk to her directors, then she should just say that."

And she didn't answer that, but, you know, we bifurcated the issue of hiring and inquiry, and in inquiry, I think that we -- "Mr. Leen: Has been interpreted to mean that the individual Commissioners can ask questions and receive information from any employee, and the limitation on that is that if it requires the expenditure of funds by the City in an administrative function, then it has to do it at the direction of the Commission or go through the City Manager.

"So an individual Commissioner cannot require one employee to spend City funds to respond to an inquiry, but if they have a

Yeah.

1 specific question, they can ask the question and receive the information." 3 That was the discussion. MR. KORGE: And that's why we added, to obtain information. 6 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULT: Yeah. Yeah. 7 And then I mentioned the irate neighbor coming, where the permit has been stuck for 23 9 applications, and, you know, it was -- I think 10 we passed it in the form of a request for 11 information, as long as it's not 12 administrative. 13 "Mr. Thomson: I know. I just suggested 14 use of the County investigations and inquiries 15 and say informational inquiries are fine by a single Commissioner." 16 17 But then, you know, it's -- I think it's 18 for information only. 19 Well, there would be some MR THOMSON: 20 value if the answer were authoritative, which 21 it most certainly would be, if the information 22 came from either the City Manager or a 23 department head. That's all.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You cannot give orders. You're just, you know, seeking

24

25

1 specific information on --MR. DEWITT: Where did that issue that was raised, if the question is an expensive question to get the information --CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You can't do that. 6 I mean, the employee will not give you the information or will refer it to the City Manager, and the City Manager will say, "You're out of line." MR. DEWITT: I'm fine with that. Parker raised an interesting question and since he raised it just now, Craig, is there a -- we obviously have a gender neutrality issue throughout the Charter, and especially in this document. Is there a way that we can do a universal search or something and clean that up? MR. LEEN: Yes. Bridget, did you --Ms. Thornton, did you address that in your model Charter? MS. THORNTON: Yes. In the re-write, I made it gender neutral.

MR. LEEN:

4

5

7

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. THORNTON: But just to caution you, if you did a universal search, because of H-E, you

I thought so.

1 probably will pull up "the" or "their." So it 2 would be very time consuming. MR. DEWITT: I meant, an electronic search, not a --What MS. THORNTON: Yeah. But what Yeah. 6 I'm saying is, when you do the electronic one, it picks up everything with an H-E. And so someone will likely need to sit down and 9 re-read it and make sure that they're all 10 right. 11 MR. DEWITT: Okay. So we're correcting 12 that. 13 MR. LEEN: So we are making it gender 14 neutral, though. That is the --15 MR. DEWITT: Okay. It just didn't make it 16 into this section here. 17 MR. LEEN: Well, no. No. This is based on 18 the current Charter. The gender neutral part 19 of it is something that does not require a 20 referendum, in my opinion. The Commission 21 could direct that. That doesn't change the 22 substance of the Charter. So that would be --23 MR. DEWITT: I'm just saying, the language 24 we have here is not gender neutral. 25 MR. LEEN: No, because we -- I guess we put

1 the gender neutral aspect of that in the re-write of the Charter. 3 We could go through and put the Charter amendments, make them gender neutral, if you'd like. 6 MR. DEWITT: I would hope we would, yeah. 7 MR. LEEN: Although the Commission would first have to direct that the whole Charter be 8 9 -- because we have to make it whatever it is. 10 Like when we present it to the voters, they 11 need to see the actual language and the change. 12 So it could be complicated, but the goal is 13 to make these gender neutral, when they 14 actually go into the Charter. 15 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. All right. 16 Do we need a vote on lines of authority, 17 Section 23? 18 MR. LEEN: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yes. 20 MR. MORALES: Move it. 21 MR. KORGE: I'll second. 22 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Moved and second. 23 All those in favor say, aye. 24 MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: Aye. 25 MR. BONN: Aye.

1 MR. MORALES: Aye. 2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Aye. 3 Opposed? Good. All right. The next --5 MR. LEEN: The last is the form re-write of 6 the Charter, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Form re-write of the Charter. 8 9 Mr. Leen. 10 MR. LEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 I would ask Special Counsel to come up. 12 MR. THORNTON: Hi. Good evening. 13 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: 14 MS. THORNTON: Good to see everyone again. 15 MR. LEEN: If you could speak a little bit 16 about what you did, the challenges you faced, 17 if any, anything for them to decide, and, you 18 know, what the final product is. 19 MS. THORNTON: Okay. What I did is, as you 20 know, I've been going through the Charter. 21 It's almost like my baby now. I've read it 22 over and over again. 23 And with this re-write, I tried to make it 24 be more reader friendly and use less archaic 25 language, and more approachable for the average person, because I have to confess, I read some of the provisions, and I, as an attorney, who have been practicing for years, did not understand what some of those provisions meant.

So I tried to first discern the intent of those confusing provisions, and then re-write them in a manner that better reflects what I think the Legislature was trying to say.

I'm here to answer any questions that you may have. I just hope that it is now more reader friendly for you, and you can understand it better, as well, and you hope that you agree with me that the re-write reflects the intent of the original provisions.

MR. LEEN: So that's the presentation. You all have a copy. We have one more meeting.

I'm sure some of you may have some edits. Feel free to send them to Ms. Thornton and myself.

MR THOMSON: Mr. Chair, I had asked that a review be made by the City Attorney and the Retirement Board lawyer as to the retirement provision here, because I don't know the subject, and I have no suggestions, other than to say that what's here seems to be limiting the Commission in an area where I think the

1 Commission should have the broadest authority, 2 considering the importance of pensions to our 3 budget. And I think I requested that at the last 5 meeting. 6 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You did. And it 7 says here that the pension will not be 8 operative until it's ratified by a general 9 election. 10 MR. LEEN: I've given an opinion in this 11 one and also the Biltmore one and the 12 special -- and the related laws, they have not 1.3 been incorporated in yet, because I haven't 14 formally issued the opinion. I commit to doing 15 that before the next meeting, but that will be 16 taken out. 17 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: But can we vote to 18 get rid of this? 19 MR. LEEN: We've already agreed. 20 agreed and I issued an opinion, and it's being 21 stricken by opinion, which I'll provide to you. 2.2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: It's being 23 stricken? 24 MR. LEEN: Yes. 25 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah, but can we

1 strike it not only by opinion, but strike it? 2 MR. LEEN: Well, we could, but you would 3 have to send this to a referendum if you did it 4 through the normal process, and the problem is, 5 the whole purpose of the Home Rule Powers Act 6 was to not require the City to send to the 7 voters a referendum to remove a referendum 8 power, which, you know, typically, the thought 9 is that voters might not want to eliminate 10 their own referendum power. 11 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: So wait. 12 here, but then we are told by you that we 13 ignore it? 14 MR. LEEN: Yes. This has been voided, that 15 part of it. The part that says it has to go to 16 a referendum was voided by the Municipal Home 17 Rules Powers Act. It has not been enforced by 18 the City, as long as I can tell. 19 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Can you put 20 somewhere that it has to be --21 MR THOMSON: I may have misunderstood. 22 did understand that you had said that the 23 portion of it --24 MR. LEEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI:

25

MR. THOMSON: -- is, in effect, deleted, 1 2 but that leaves what's there. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: That's right. 3 4 MR. THOMSON: What's there, I find, 5 limiting language in an area where I think the 6 Commission should have the broadest discretion. 7 MR. KORGE: Right. So continuing with 8 that, it seems to me that if it's void, the 9 final product should delete it and maybe 10 footnote an explanation, if necessary, but if 11 it's not enforceable, then it shouldn't be in 12 the Charter itself. 13 MR. LEEN: I agree. 14 MR. KORGE: I guess that's what you're 15 saying, right, Parker? 16 MR. THOMSON: Correct. 17 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I agree. 18 MR. BONN: I agree. 19 MR. KORGE: Now, let me ask you a related 20 question, kind of a tangential question. 21 What's the Commission's power with respect to 22 bonding, the issue of bonds? Does it require a 23 referendum? 24 MR. LEEN: If it's pledging the ad valorem 25 taxes of the City for more than a year, but

1 that's by general law. 2 MR. KORGE: That explains --3 MR. LEEN: That's not something we can limit. 5 MR. KORGE: That explains the pension 6 provision, because that's another long-term 7 liability that you would expect. If they're 8 going to require a referendum, which is a 9 long-term liability, that would make sense now 10 why that provision is in there. 11 MR. LEEN: Except that we have not gone out 12 for a bond on our pension. That was discussed 13 by the Commission --14 MR. KORGE: No, not a bond on the pension. 15 I'm talking about a bond, generally. 16 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I don't think that 17 that requires a referendum. 18 MR. KORGE: It doesn't? 19 MR. LEEN: It does. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: It does? 21 MR. LEEN: If it's pledging the ad valorem 22 taxes of the City for more than a year. 23 it's pledging revenue bonds, then it does not 24 have to go to referendum. 25 MR. KORGE: And the pension obligation is a similar long-term liability that effectively pledges the ad valorem revenues, because if it's a legal obligation of the City that extends beyond the year, then it's a long-term liability, pledging, in effect, our ad valorem. So that's why it was in there originally. I'm guessing.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: But we have been told that because of the Collective Bargaining, everything else --

MR THOMSON: I would suggest that it was in there, because of the fact that they keep going to the legislature, and that's in there, but my proposition is very simple, a citizen of Coral Gables, a tax payer of Coral Gables, should be able to read the Charter and know what's in the Charter and what isn't in the Charter.

And when we started, we were given a Charter as being the current Charter and it contains a provision about pensions. If it doesn't -- whether or not our entire Charter reform is adopted by the Commission, it shouldn't be in there, because the reader believes it's there.

MR. KORGE: Right.

1 MR. LEEN: I hear you.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEWITT: And, Craig, let me ask you a question.

MR. LEEN: It won't be in there. It's going to be removed. Also, my opinion was that the part about the trustees of the pension also was barred by the Home Rule Powers Act and by the Collective Bargaining laws.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Right. Let's remove it.

MR. LEEN: I'm sorry that that's still in this draft. I have to just coordinate with Special Counsel. She probably didn't know about my opinions, so we will address it.

MS. THORNTON: Well, so you know, I finished the draft before the last meeting, and before you had the discussion and before the opinion was formalized about removal, and so you reviewed what you were given prior to the last meeting, but I can remove those provisions. I was waiting for direction.

I just wanted to have you look at a Charter that reflected everything that is purportedly in it right now. And, then, once I received direction as to what can be deleted, I was

1 going to delete it. 2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You have it. 3 MS. THORNTON: Okay. 4 MR. LEEN: Could you take everything that 5 the Committee has done and incorporate it into the Charter? Work with Miriam, the Deputy City 6 7 Attorney, and for our last meeting, we'll have 8 the re-rewritten Charter, with all of your proposed changes in it. 10 MR. DEWITT: Let me ask one question, in 11 line with the pension issue. I think I asked 12 it before and I don't think you -- does the 13 Commission, at this point, under the Charter 14 and/or what we're proposing, have the authority 15 to move to the State Pension System if it 16 wanted to, without a referendum? 17 MR. LEEN: There's a statutory procedure 18 for that. 19 MR. DEWITT: But the Commission can do that 20 under our Charter? 21 MR. LEEN: Yes. Our Charter doesn't 2.2 prevent that, in my opinion. 23 MR. DEWITT: Okay. 24 MR. LEEN: And that would often be done 25 through Collective Bargaining, too, and

1 Collective Bargaining must take precedence. 2 MR. DEWITT: I know it's a Collective 3 Bargaining issue, but, yeah. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All right. Other 5 comments on the Charter re-write? 6 MR. MORALES: First of all, I read through 7 them. I thought it was more easily read and a 8 little updated, and I think eliminating the 9 sections that were blank or whatever makes it 10 easier to read. 11 The one thing I think is potentially 12 confusing, and I'm not sure if we need to continue it, all of these "Formally Article 13 29." Number One, it begs the question, if I'm 14 15 reading it, what happened to Article 21-28, 16 and, you know --17 MS. THORNTON: To be honest, those were 18 just kind of --19 MR. MORALES: To help us? 20 MS. THORNTON: -- so we would all know, and 21 then I just wanted to ease your confusion as to, you know, where did that come from. 22 23 MR. MORALES: That was my question. So 24 that's just there for our purposes? 25 MS. THORNTON: Right. That's just for your

1 purposes, and we can delete that. 2 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Please. Yeah, make 3 it --MS. THORNTON: Okay. 5 MR. MORALES: No, I think it was good help 6 for me to read it that way, but they should 7 come out when it's finally the final clean 8 Charter. MS. THORNTON: Right. And to be honest, so 10 that I would know. 11 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: When in doubt, keep 12 in mind Mr. Thomson's comment. 13 MS. THORNTON: Right. That's not a problem 14 at all. 15 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. 16 MS. THORNTON: And that was also so that I 17 can keep track of where things came from, because it all kind of starts to run together 18 19 after a while. 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: All right. 21 Anything else? 22 MR. LEEN: So, Mr. Chair, there will be one 23 more meeting, which you need to set. Are there 24 any other provisions you want to look at in the 25 Charter? Is there anything else you want to

recommend to the Commission or is it just coming back to you with the final package?

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: As far as I'm concerned, it's the final package, unless you have other --

MR. MORALES: No. I had mentioned at the last meeting I wanted to talk about this concept of a Commission Auditor. I think it's probably too big -- I think the City probably isn't large enough.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The City isn't big enough for that.

MR. MORALES: But I just wanted to pass out, for those who haven't -- because, you know, the County has one that actually I drafted years ago, modeling the Jacksonville one, just for information purposes, so that, for the record, the concept of what I meant by Commission Auditor is different than the independent auditor.

Sort of a financial audit, it really is a Charter Officer, working for the City, reporting directly to the Commission, that helps the Commission interpret and interact with the management staff and the financial

information.

1.4

It's sort of their aide, at a different level, than just sort of a Chief of Staff or something like that.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: We had an issue similar to this. We had a Commissioner in the '80s, who was retired, I will not mention his name, who insisted in having full, absolute, and complete information as to what was happening in the City, and he insisted on a Commissioner Auditor, and he said, "I will act as a Commission Auditor for" -- he didn't have anything to do after the third day. I mean, it was just --

MR. MORALES: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: He also followed police cars around and wanted to go to fires and whatever.

MR. MORALES: As I mentioned, one of the Commissioners initially was interested in this, and I think this was the idea, but I think given now, you know, the language in the Independent Auditor -- so I just wanted folks to know, for the record, what I was talking about, different than the Independent Auditor,

but I think it works for a County with 30,000 employees, more importantly, and a seven million dollar budget, per se, than the situation here, I think, but something that as the City grows, it's an interesting concept.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No, it's good that you brought it up. It's very, very good that you brought it up and we considered it, yeah.

All right. Anything else? Shall we set the next meeting?

MR. LEEN: Yes, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Before Christmas or after the New Year?

MR. LEEN: We'll be able to have everything done in a few weeks, because we have everything. There wasn't a lot of changes, so we'll be able to put together a packet for you. We'll make the -- I'll have the opinions issued in the next week.

Special Counsel will work with the Deputy
City Attorney to have everything put into this
gender neutral, revised, easy to read Charter,
which you'll have. You'll have each of the
provisions that you amended. I think there's a
couple that are going to come back to you for a

1 final vote. And then we'll also have the --2 we'll make sure that we record who voted what 3 on which one, so the Commission has all of that. That should be the last meeting. 5 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You would rather we 6 meet after New Years? 7 MR. LEEN: It's up to the Board. We can do 8 either way, whatever you think is best. CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: But can you do it 10 before New Years -- before Christmas? Do you 11 have enough time to, you know, draft whatever 12 you have to draft? 13 She says, "Yes." 14 MS. THORNTON: Yes, I can do it. 15 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Shall we try for 16 the week of the 14th, towards the end of that 17 week, like the 17th or --18 MR. DEWITT: The only day -- are you 19 talking about December? 20 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULT: Yeah. 21 MR. DEWITT: I've got the 16th. 22 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: You've got, what? 23 MR. DEWITT: The 16th. 24 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Available? 25 MR. BONN: I'm free on the 14th.

1 week, unfortunately, I have a procedure up in 2 Boston. I'm leaving on the 15th. 3 MR. DEWITT: You're leaving on the 15th? CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Can you do it on 4 5 the 14th? Can we do it on the 14th? 6 MR. DEWITT: I can do the 15th. You're 7 leaving on the 15th? 8 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: We can do it on the 15th, if you would like. 10 MR. DEWITT: He's leaving on the 15th. 11 MR. BONN: Yeah. Unfortunately, I fly out 12 on the 15th for a procedure. 13 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: I'm sorry, you said 14 you couldn't do it on Monday? 15 MR. BONN: I'm leaving on the 15th. 16 sorry. 17 MR. THOMSON: The 15th and 16th are bad for 18 me. 19 MR. DEWITT: How about the 29th or 28th? 20 MR. MORALES: Of December? 21 MR. LEEN: I might avoid that week. 22 MR. MORALES: No, I'm away that week. 23 MR. DEWITT: All right. Let's go to 24 January. 25 MR. BONN: Yeah, to the Chairman's point,

1 probably after the first of the year. 2 MR. LEEN: What we'll do is, we'll send 3 each of you a packet, because it's the last meeting. We'll try to get it to you at least a week in advance, a packet, so you could review 5 6 it. 7 MR. MORALES: January 5th? 8 MR. LEEN: Is that okay? Could we do that? 9 MR. DEWITT: January 5th is good for me. 10 MR. BONN: Perfect. 11 MR. DEWITT: January 5 is good. 12 MR. MORALES: Mr. Chairman? 13 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yeah, that works. 14 Does that work? 15 MR. DEWITT: There's a small chance I may 16 be going to Seattle. I don't need to be here 17 at the last meeting, though. 18 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Yes, you do. 19 MR. LEEN: Yes, sir, but I don't know yet, 20 because I have to schedule it around my dad's 21 schedule. 22 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Shall we try the 5th? When will you know if you can --23 24 MR. LEEN: Well, you know, it's far enough 25 in advance that if I can't do that, we can

1 agree on another date. Do you have an 2 alternate date that I could have, just in case, 3 the next week? 4 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: The 4th, 6th. 5 MR. LEEN: No, the week after. Do you have 6 an alternate date, the 11th to the 15th? 7 CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Oh, the week after. 8 MR. DEWITT: The 12th. MR. KORGE: The 12th, Tuesday. That's the 10 date that's been working. 11 MR. LEEN: There's a Commission meeting. 12 MR. THOMSON: Number 12 is a Saturday. 13 MR. MORALES: Well, was the idea to report 14 to Commission on the 12th? 15 MR. BONN: January. 16 MR. LEEN: No. We haven't talked about 17 when this would go on the ballot. I assume 18 we'll need a couple of months. I'll have to 19 get that date from the -- but we don't have an 20 April election, though, so we would probably 21 have to set a special election anyway. So that 22 doesn't matter. 23 And we can do by mail ballot, which I know 24 the Commission has talked about maybe doing it 25 by mail ballot.

1	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: What, the
2	ratification or the adoption?
3	MR. LEEN: Yeah. Our Code allows that.
4	MR. MORALES: The 19th? Tuesday, the 19th?
5	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: What happened to
6	the 12th?
7	MR. MORALES: Commission meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Oh, okay.
9	MR. MORALES: The 19th is the backup date?
10	MR. LEEN: Okay. So I have the 5th and the
11	19th. Is that okay with everybody?
12	MR. DEWITT: Sounds good to me.
13	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. LEEN: At 7:00 p.m., the same time? Is
15	that good for everybody?
16	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Why not?
17	MR. LEEN: Okay.
18	MR. DEWITT: Seven o'clock?
19	MS. ORTEGA-FRIDMAN: The 5th
20	MR. LEEN: and 19th. It will be one of
21	those two. Right now it's set as the 5th.
22	MR. MORALES: Motion to adjourn.
23	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: So the 5th or the
24	19th, all right.
25	I'm going to miss you guys.

1	MR. BONN: You're going to Alaska.
2	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: No, I'm not. I
3	decided to postpone that.
4	Okay.
5	MR. MORALES: Motion to adjourn.
6	CHAIRMAN VALDES-FAULI: Meeting adjourned.
7	(Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
8	8:08 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1.5	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	SS.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	
7	
8	
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
14	stenographic notes.
15	
16	DATED this 15th day of December, 2015.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	NIEVES SANCHEZ
23	NITARD SANCUEY
24	
25	